

Analysis of Teaching Difficulties and Countermeasures in Infinite Series Courses

Zhihui Zhang

The Tourism College of Changchun University, Changchun, Jilin, 130607, China

ABSTRACT

Infinite series is a key topic in higher mathematics and mathematical analysis. It connects limits, functions, derivatives and integrals, and also supports later study of power series expansion, approximate calculation, differential equations and numerical methods. However, many students regard this chapter as difficult because they often fail to understand the essence of convergence, confuse different convergence tests, and lack flexible ability in power series problems. Based on classroom teaching practice, this paper analyzes the major learning difficulties in infinite series courses and discusses their causes. The study finds that these difficulties are related to weak prerequisite knowledge, excessive dependence on formula memorization, insufficient intuitive presentation of infinite processes, and exercises that are not clearly layered. To improve teaching effectiveness, this paper proposes several strategies, including strengthening the idea of partial sums, constructing a clear path for choosing convergence tests, using visualization and numerical simulation, analyzing typical errors, designing layered exercises, and improving process-based feedback. A preliminary teaching practice shows that after these measures were adopted, students' scoring rate in convergence judgment and endpoint analysis increased from about 52% to 68%. The results suggest that infinite series teaching should shift from mechanical problem training to concept-oriented and process-oriented learning.

KEYWORDS

Infinite series; Higher mathematics; Teaching difficulty; Convergence; Teaching strategy

1 Introduction

Infinite series is an important component of higher mathematics. It extends the idea of limits from sequences and functions to infinite summation, and it is closely connected with later topics such as Taylor expansion, numerical approximation and differential equations. For students in science and engineering programs, mastering infinite series is not only a requirement of calculus learning, but also a foundation for solving professional problems that involve approximation and modeling ^[1-2].

In actual teaching, the learning effect of this chapter is often unsatisfactory. Some students can remember the names of the comparison test, ratio test, root test and alternating series test, but they cannot explain the conditions under which these tests should be used. When exercises are similar to textbook examples, they can imitate the solution steps. When the form of the series changes, they may not know how to start. A common error is to regard the condition that the general term tends to zero as sufficient for convergence, which shows that students' understanding remains at a formal level ^[3-4].

Therefore, the teaching of infinite series should not be limited to theorem presentation and repeated calculation. It is necessary to analyze students' cognitive barriers, clarify the reasons behind these barriers, and design teaching strategies that combine conceptual explanation, method guidance and learning feedback. This paper follows the logic of content overview, difficulty analysis, cause exploration, improvement strategy and conclusion, with the aim of providing a practical reference for classroom teaching.

2 Overview of the Teaching Content of Infinite Series

The teaching content of infinite series mainly includes numerical series and function series. Numerical series covers the concept of series, partial sums, convergence and divergence, positive term series, alternating series, absolute convergence and conditional convergence. Function series, especially power series, further involves radius of convergence, interval of convergence, endpoint judgment, term-by-term differentiation and integration, and expansion of elementary functions.

The concept of a series is based on the sequence of partial sums. For the series $\sum a_n$, convergence is not determined only by the behavior of the general term a_n , but by whether the partial sums $S_n = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$ approach a finite limit. This point is simple in expression but difficult for students to internalize, because they must shift from finite addition to the idea of an infinite limiting process.

From the perspective of curriculum organization, infinite series is a comprehensive chapter. It requires students to use previous knowledge of limits, functions, derivatives and integrals. Students need to understand definitions, select suitable tests, complete logical reasoning and apply power series to function expansion. Therefore, the teaching focus should be

placed not only on calculation, but also on conceptual understanding and method selection.

3 Main Difficulties in Teaching Infinite Series

3.1 Insufficient Understanding of Convergence

The first difficulty is the concept of convergence. In theory, a series converges only when its sequence of partial sums has a finite limit. In practice, many students pay attention only to the general term. They know that a convergent series must satisfy $a_n \rightarrow 0$, but they often misunderstand this necessary condition as a sufficient condition. The harmonic series is a typical example: its general term tends to zero, but the series diverges. If this example is not fully discussed, students may continue to judge convergence only by observing whether the terms become smaller.

This difficulty also comes from the abstract nature of the partial-sum process. Students can recite the definition, but they cannot always imagine how partial sums approach or fail to approach a stable value. As a result, the definition remains a sentence rather than a tool for reasoning.

3.2 Unclear Selection of Convergence Tests

The second difficulty is choosing an appropriate convergence test. Infinite series contains many tests, and each test has specific conditions. Students often choose a method according to surface features. For instance, they may use the ratio test whenever a factorial appears, or the root test whenever an n th power appears. This experience-based method sometimes works, but it becomes unreliable when the structure of the series is more complex.

The comparison test is also difficult because it requires students to identify a proper benchmark series, such as a geometric series or a p -series. This process depends on estimation and structural observation. If students lack these abilities, they may not know what to compare with. In addition, when the limit in the ratio test or root test equals 1, some students still force a conclusion, showing that they remember the operation but not the logical boundary of the theorem.

3.3 Difficulty in Distinguishing Absolute and Conditional Convergence

For alternating series and general term series, students often fail to distinguish absolute convergence from conditional convergence. Some students stop after applying the alternating series test and do not further examine the convergence of the absolute-value series. Therefore, they may give an incomplete answer when a problem asks for the type of convergence.

Conditional convergence is also counterintuitive. A series may converge because positive and negative terms cancel each other, while the corresponding absolute-value series diverges. Students who do not understand this cancellation effect may regard absolute convergence, conditional convergence and ordinary convergence as isolated concepts rather than as a related classification system.

3.4 Weak Comprehensive Ability in Power Series

Power series is a concentrated difficulty because it combines several knowledge points. Students need to calculate the radius of convergence, determine the interval of convergence, test endpoints and sometimes expand functions. A common error is endpoint omission. After obtaining the radius, students directly write the interval without checking the boundary points, even though the ratio test or root test usually cannot determine endpoint behavior^[5-6].

Another problem is the rigid use of standard Taylor expansions. Students may memorize expansions of e^x , $\sin x$, $\cos x$ and $\ln(1+x)$, but they cannot flexibly transform them when the function form changes. This shows that formulas are memorized as fixed patterns rather than understood as tools.

4 Causes of the Teaching Difficulties

4.1 Weak Prerequisite Knowledge

Infinite series depends heavily on previous knowledge. The comparison test requires understanding of inequalities and equivalent orders. The integral test requires a connection between series and improper integrals. Power series requires derivatives, integrals and function transformation. If students' foundation in limits, functions and calculus is weak, they will find it difficult to understand the reasoning behind convergence tests.

In many classes, teachers assume that earlier knowledge has been mastered. However, some students can complete routine calculations in earlier chapters without forming a stable understanding of limit thinking. When they encounter the more abstract chapter of infinite series, these hidden weaknesses become obvious.

4.2 Overemphasis on Theorem Memorization

Another cause is that teaching sometimes focuses too much on conclusions and procedures. The common pattern of definition, theorem, example and exercise helps complete the syllabus, but it may encourage students to memorize rules mechanically. For example, if the ratio test is taught only as a formula for calculating a limit, students may not realize that it is related to comparison with a geometric series. Once the method is separated from its reason, students can solve

familiar exercises but cannot handle unfamiliar ones ^[7].

4.3 Lack of Intuitive Presentation

Infinite series involves an infinite and dynamic process. If teaching relies only on symbols, students may not see the difference between slow divergence and convergence. For example, both the harmonic series and some convergent p-series have general terms tending to zero, but their partial sums behave differently. Graphs or numerical tables can make this difference visible. Without such support, abstract concepts remain difficult to grasp.

4.4 Insufficient Hierarchy in Exercises

Exercise design also affects learning. Some exercises focus mainly on final answers and do not require students to explain why a test is applicable or why a wrong method fails. Students may finish many problems but still fail to form a clear judgment path. In addition, if exercises are not layered, weaker students lack basic conceptual practice, while stronger students lack comprehensive tasks. This reduces the overall effectiveness of practice.

5 Countermeasures for Improving Infinite Series Teaching

5.1 Strengthening the Idea of Partial Sums

To improve conceptual understanding, teaching should return to the source of convergence. Before introducing many tests, teachers should guide students to understand that an infinite series is studied through its partial sums. The geometric series and the harmonic series can be compared repeatedly. The former shows how partial sums approach a finite limit, while the latter shows that $a_n \rightarrow 0$ does not guarantee convergence. Through calculation of several partial sums, students can experience accumulation before accepting the formal definition.

Teachers should also emphasize the logical relationship between the necessary condition and convergence. The statement 'if a series converges, then its general term tends to zero' should be distinguished clearly from its converse. This distinction can be reinforced through short questions, examples and classroom discussion.

5.2 Constructing a Path for Selecting Convergence Tests

Students need a method map instead of a scattered list of tests. For positive term series, teachers can guide students to observe whether the term resembles a geometric series, a p-series, a factorial expression or an nth power expression, and then consider comparison, ratio, root or integral tests. For general term series, students should first examine absolute convergence and then discuss conditional convergence when necessary. For power series, the basic path should be: find the radius, write the open interval, test endpoints and determine the final interval.

Such a flow does not replace reasoning, but it helps students decide where to begin. When students gradually understand the conditions of each test, they can move from guided selection to independent judgment.

5.3 Using Visualization and Numerical Simulation

Visualization can reduce the distance between abstract symbols and students' intuition. Teachers may use Python, MATLAB, GeoGebra or spreadsheet tools to show the change of partial sums. For example, plotting the partial sums of a geometric series and the harmonic series on the same graph helps students see convergence and divergence more directly. For alternating series, a graph can show how partial sums oscillate with decreasing amplitude. For power series, polynomial approximations can be compared with the original function to illustrate the meaning of the interval of convergence.

Numerical simulation should not replace proof. Teachers need to explain that visual results provide intuition, while rigorous conclusions still depend on definitions and theorems. When intuition and proof are combined, students can understand not only how to calculate, but also why the calculation is reasonable.

5.4 Strengthening Typical Error Analysis

Typical errors are valuable teaching resources. Common errors include treating $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as sufficient for convergence, drawing a conclusion when the ratio-test limit equals 1, ignoring endpoints in power series, confusing absolute convergence with ordinary convergence, and using comparison tests without checking conditions. Teachers can present wrong solutions in class and ask students to locate the mistake. This makes hidden thinking problems visible and forces students to return to theorem conditions ^[8].

Students can also be asked to revise wrong solutions. For example, after finding that endpoint judgment is missing in a power series problem, they should complete the endpoint analysis and rewrite the conclusion. This type of training links error recognition with correct reasoning and helps prevent repeated mistakes.

5.5 Designing Layered Exercises and Feedback

In addition, exercises should be arranged in levels. Basic exercises may focus on definitions and common tests. Intermediate exercises may ask students to compare several possible methods and explain their choice. Advanced

exercises may combine power series expansion, approximation and calculus operations. This arrangement can meet the needs of students with different foundations.

Process-based feedback is also necessary. Teachers can collect information from quizzes, homework and classroom questions. If many students make the same error, the next lesson should include targeted explanation. If students perform well in routine questions but poorly in method selection, more comparative exercises should be added. In this way, teaching becomes a responsive process rather than a one-way delivery of textbook content.

5.6 Teaching Practice Effect

A preliminary teaching practice was carried out in one class. Before improvement, teaching mainly followed theorem explanation and example demonstration, and students' errors were concentrated in convergence judgment, selection of tests and endpoint analysis of power series. During the improved teaching process, a convergence-test flow chart, numerical demonstration of partial sums and classroom error analysis were introduced. Exercises were divided into basic, intermediate and advanced levels.

After the intervention, students' scoring rate in convergence judgment and power-series endpoint analysis increased from about 52% to about 68%. Homework also showed fewer errors such as 'general term tending to zero means convergence' and 'endpoint analysis is omitted'. Although the practice was limited in scale and cannot be regarded as a strict experimental conclusion, it indicates that concept-centered teaching, visualization, error analysis and layered exercises have practical value in improving infinite series teaching.

6 Conclusion

Infinite series is a difficult but important topic in higher mathematics. Students' main difficulties include insufficient understanding of convergence, unclear selection of convergence tests, confusion between absolute and conditional convergence, and weak comprehensive ability in power series. These problems are related to weak prerequisite knowledge, mechanical memorization of theorems, lack of intuitive presentation and insufficiently layered exercises.

To improve teaching quality, teachers should strengthen the idea of partial sums, help students understand the essence of convergence, construct a clear path for selecting tests, introduce visualization and numerical simulation, use typical errors as teaching materials, and design layered exercises with timely feedback. These measures can help students move from formula imitation to conceptual understanding and gradually develop stronger mathematical reasoning ability.

The preliminary practice discussed in this paper shows that these strategies can improve students' performance in convergence judgment and power series analysis. Future teaching can further expand the sample size, refine evaluation indicators and combine classroom teaching with online learning resources, so as to continuously improve the effectiveness of infinite series teaching.

About the Author

Zhihui Zhang, Education: Master's Degree, Title: Assistant Lecture, Research Field: Stochastic Differential Equations.

References

- [1] Chagwiza, C. J., Maharaj, A., & Brijlall, D. (2020). University students' mental construction when learning the Convergence of a Series concept. [J] *Pythagoras*, 41(1), a567.
- [2] Martinez-Planell, R., Gonzalez, A. C., DiCristina, G., & Acevedo, V. (2012). Students' conception of infinite series. [J] *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 81(2), 235-249.
- [3] Nardi, E., & Iannone, P. (2001). On convergence of a series: The unbearable inconclusiveness of the limit comparison test. In M. van den Heuvel-Panhuizen (Ed.), [J] *Proceedings of the 25th Conference of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 4, pp. 399-406). PME.
- [4] Eckman, D., & Roh, K. H. (2022). Students' Intuitive Meanings for Infinite Series Convergence and Corresponding Implications. [D] In *Proceedings of the 44th Annual Meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*. ERIC.
- [5] Locia-Espinoza, E., Morales-Carballo, A., & Merino-Cruz, H. (2020). Taylor's Formula, Limited Development, and Development of Power Series: A Study of the Knowledge of University Professors in Training. [EB/OL] *International Electronic Journal of Mathematics Education*, 15(3), em0585.
- [6] Tatira, B. (2022). Undergraduate Students' Cognitive Obstacles in the Learning Power Series Concepts Using APOS. [J] *International Journal of Social Science Research and Review*, 5(12), 415-433.
- [7] Arnon, I., Cottrill, J., Dubinsky, E., Oktac, A., Roa Fuentes, S., Trigueros, M., & Weller, K. (2014). APOS Theory: [D] *A Framework for Research and Curriculum Development in Mathematics Education*. Springer.
- [8] Genc, M., & Akinci, M. (2020). Errors in Using Convergence Tests in Infinite Series. [J] *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 13(2), 113-127.